Application No. 09/960,714 Amendment dated March 22, 2004 Reply to Office Action of September 12, 2003

Amendments to the Drawings:

This application was filed without any drawings. Please add new Figure 1 which is included in the attached sheet.

REMARKS

- A. Applicant was required to furnish a drwing under 37 CFR 1.81
- B. The Rejection of claims 1-3 under 35 U.S.C. 101 as allegedly claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-3 of copending Application No. 09/960,715.

By this Amendment, claims 1-3 have been cancelled so as to avoid any issue of same invention claiming or double patenting. (It is respectfully submitted that claim 2 was improperly rejected in that Claim 1 of the present invention incorporates the limitation from claim 4 of copending Application No. 09/960,715, to wit: "wherein the TIB can be used for invoking one or more restrictions on use of the SCN; however, this limitation is not found in any of claims 1-3 of copending Application No. 09/960,715. However, so as to avoid the necessity for a Terminal Disclaimer, Applicant will pursue any such claim in copending Application No. 09/960,715.)

C. The Provisional Rejection of Claims 4-5 under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting over claims 1-3 of copending Application No. 09/960,715

By this Amendment, claims 4-5 have been cancelled without prejudice to pursuing such claims in copending Application No. 09/960,715 so as to avoid the issue presented by this rejection. For the same reason, claim 6 has also been canceled without prejudice to prosecution of the same claim in copending Application No. 09/960,715.

D. Claims 7-16 have been canceled and rewritten as new claims 17-26 so as to overcome the objection to these claims

Claims 7-16 were objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but were indicated to be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. By this Amendment, claims 7-

Application No. 09/960,714 Amendment dated March 22, 2004 Reply to Office Action of September 12, 2003

16 have been canceled and rewritten in independent form as claims 17-26 including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Accordingly, it is respectfully submitted that these claims, and this application, are now in condition for allowance.

E. Conclusion

Based upon the foregoing, it is respectfully submitted that the claims are now in condition for allowance. Reexamination and reconsideration are respectfully requested.

In the event that any minor changes are needed to place this application in condition for allowance, a telephone call to the undersigned would be greatly appreciated.

Finally, Applicant would like to point out the following additional matters bearing on this application.

First, a PETITION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME UNDER 37 CFR 1.136(a) is submitted herewith.

Second, check no. 1077 drawn on the Client Trust Account of Law Offices of Roy Anderson in the amount of \$475.00 is submitted herewith to pay the \$475.00 fee for a three month extension of time pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(1).

Respectfully submitted,

LAW OFFICES OF ROY ANDERSON 1010 North Central Avenue Glendale, CA 91202 Facsimile (818) 241-1300 Telephone (818) 245-1350

Dated: March 22, 2004

By: ___

Roy L. Anderson

Reg. No. 30,240